

Assessment Committee
August 1, 2006
Large Faculty Conference Room

Members present: Noni Threinen (**Chair**), Renee DeVries, Mary Berg, Jane Richardson, Pat Casello, Don Eggebrecht, Angie Przybylski, Rachel Preisinger

Guests: Dale Healey

Absent: Mark McKenzie, Michael Wiles, Julia Bartlett, Kren McManus, Xianhui Yang, Alyssa Hitch

Review July 18th Meeting Minutes:

Motion to Approve (Eggebrecht, DeVries)

One abstention

Outcome #4: Individuals, Communities and Cultures

Discussion:

- Outcome foci should perhaps be expanded to include employees, families, etc. rather than just the patient. They are expressing general education needs for professional development.
- How do we evaluate this?
- Be professional in a variety of contexts
- Communication criteria attended to this too
- Eventually we will redistribute these criteria to program faculty for feedback
- Put blanket statement at the beginning followed by the bullet points listed here
- We have a diversity commission and have identified university objectives specific to the diversity commission. This may be a helpful resource in developing these criteria. Dr. Oyelowo is involved with the commission and may be able to direct the group to these objectives. Dr. Threinen also included some diversity elements in one of her online courses.

Actions Items:

- ❖ Dr. Threinen will provide information from her course to those in this small group.
- ❖ Rachel Preisinger will coordinate scheduling for the group's next meeting. Please send her your schedule if you are in this group.
- ❖ *Group members will complete the criteria and will send a report to the UAC by the end of next week (August 11, 2006)*

Outcome #3: Ethics

Discussion:

- drew from Brown

- MCAOM and Massage Therapy have ethics courses, but Chiropractic does not
 - o Is there reason for Chiropractic to consider including an ethics course separate from what is integrated into other courses?
- The word “policy” may not be most appropriate as used in 2b. Policy has too narrow an implication and “health policy” should be replaced with “health care legislation” or “political awareness.” It may even make sense to completely remove 2b, since health care [legislation] is mentioned again in 4.
- This Friday’s faculty development seminar titled, “The Ethics of Teaching” may also be helpful in further developing these criteria.

Actions Items:

- ❖ *Group members will coordinate their own schedules to set up another meeting.*
- ❖ *Group members will complete the criteria and will send a report to the UAC by the end of next week (August 11, 2006)*

Outcome #5: Service to the Community

Discussion:

- This outcome is about both understanding and valuing the benefits of service to the community.
- It is important to differentiate between community service (service for the sake of giving where there is a need) and service learning (service related to what is being learned in the classroom) and to consider whether we are promoting one or both of these as a university. The Community Service team has looked into some of this and may be able to provide some insights and helpful information
- Some of the statements in this draft of the criteria seem to relate more to the diversity outcome than to the service outcome and should be removed.
- This should be rewritten in an outline format to be consistent with the Communication outcome.

Actions Items:

- ❖ *Jane Wittich or another group member will contact Christine Kelly to ask about the University Service Policy.*
- ❖ *UAC members who have additional comments about this outcome should send them to Jane Wittich, Kren McManus, or Julia Bartlett by the end of the week.*
- ❖ *Rachel Preisinger will coordinate scheduling for the group’s next meeting. Please send her your schedule if you are in this group.*
- ❖ *Group members will complete the criteria and will send a report to the UAC by the end of next week (August 11, 2006)*
- ❖ *Dr. Threinen, Rachel Preisinger, and Angie Przybylski will reformat all three sets of outcome criteria once they are submitted at the end of next week. This way formatting will be consistent.*

Future Meetings:

The next meeting will be held during the second week of Fall trimester. Please send your schedules to Rachel Preisinger at the start of the semester. We will schedule a consistent monthly meeting time and do small group work to complete projects between meetings when necessary.

Motion to Close (Berg)

Meeting adjourned at 12:55pm.

Minutes submitted by: Rachel Preisinger

Approved by: _____

Noni Threinen, D.C., Chair

University Assessment Committee